

**When replying please quote:**

Our Ref JM/ZB/21522

20 February 2023

The Secretary of State for Business,  
Energy and Industrial Strategy  
Mr J McKenna  
Department for Business,  
Energy and Industrial Strategy  
Level 3 Orchard 2  
1 Victoria Street  
Westminster  
London  
SW1H 0ET

By Email: john.mckenna@beis.gov.uk

Dear Mr McKenna

**The National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC (Scotland to England Green Link 1)  
Compulsory Purchase Order 2023**

**Our Client: Martin Dryden**

**Our Client's address: Murton Moor Farm, Murton, Seaham, SR7 9TN**

We write in relation to the above Compulsory Purchase Order which involves a proposed cable easement over our client's property. Whilst National Grid state that they have tried to seek voluntary agreement with all land owners following a series of negotiations, these negotiations have, unfortunately, been overly protracted with a lack of communication and engagement from National Grid throughout the process.

Our client was first contacted in relation to the proposed scheme in January 2021 with a request for access over their property for walk-over surveys. Whilst a licence fee of £250 was paid to our clients for access under licence, this licence fee was to be deductible from compensation for damages. This is not standard practice within the industry, where it is reasonable for a landowner to charge a licence fee, and expect any damage caused whilst accessing their land either be made good, or a claim for damages to be met. Although the non-intrusive survey licence ran for 12 months only, we have had subsequent requests for access by National Grid, without licences or licence fees being put in place.

In July 2021 Land Interest Questionnaires were issued by WSP. Our client was not paid for their time dealing with these questionnaires, which is unreasonable, and the questionnaires were therefore completed as a gesture of goodwill. In September 2021 we received a first request for intrusive surveys, again under licences, with licence fees to be deductible from compensation. A claim was submitted to National Grid's agent in December 2021 however this was not agreed until October 2022 resulting in our clients being out of pocket for a significant period of time whilst protracted and lengthy negotiations took place with National Grid.

In August 2022 we received a draft set of Heads of Terms for an Option for Easement for our clients, with an incentive deadline being set of 4 January 2023. The basis of negotiation being that, if terms are agreed before the incentive deadline, an easement payment of 100% of the capital value of the easement width would be made, whereas this would be reduced to 80% if the incentive deadline was not met. At this point in time, claims had not been settled in relation to access which had been taken

over the last 18 months and therefore, understandably, our clients wished to hold off starting negotiations in relation to the Heads of Terms until claims had been settled.

Once claims had been settled, we sent emails to National Grid's agent to start negotiations, as well as having two meetings to try to start negotiations. We have received very little engagement from National Grid with very little effort being made to negotiate in order to make terms of voluntary agreement reasonable to land owners. We have therefore had to request the incentive deadline is moved forward twice and we confirm that the current incentive deadline is 31 March 2023, although terms would have to be agreed by 20 February 2023. Since sending an email to negotiate with National Grid on 7 February 2023 we have not had a reply, and therefore this incentive deadline will not be met.

In addition to a lack of engagement in relation to fundamental headline points on the Heads of Terms, we have also received very little information in relation to the construction works themselves. In particular, National Grid have not confirmed details in relation to soils and storage of soils during the construction process, drainage and access, all of which are basic, key points.

Our client has a construction compound marked on plans on their property. We contacted National Grid in November 2022 to explain that the location of compound was not practical as it lies on pony paddocks used by our client's livery business. We put forward a proposed alternative site on an arable field which could be more easily used by National Grid, causing a lot less disruption to our client's business. We have received no communication from National Grid as to whether this is acceptable and we were very disappointed when the Compulsory Purchase Order documents included the construction compound in the same place as before.

The cable depth has been identified as being 0.9 metres below the surface of the ground, however this is likely to cause issues for our clients as they have drains at this depth. We therefore request that the cable depth could be increased to 1.2 metres so as to cause less disruption to our client's holding in the future.

We confirm that we remain willing and happy to enter into a voluntary agreement with National Grid and feel that this is the best way forward for both parties. Unfortunately, at present, with the lack of communication and engagement from National Grid it is very difficult to work together to negotiate reasonable terms.

Yours sincerely

*J. Metcalfe.*

Mrs J Metcalfe  
[joanne.metcalfe@youngsrps.com](mailto:joanne.metcalfe@youngsrps.com)

For and on behalf of YoungsRPS