

CAP142 Working Group

Meeting Name	CAP142 Working Group
Meeting No.	6
Date of Meeting	16 th February 2007
Time	10:00am – 11:00am
Venue	Courtyard Marriott Hotel, Leamington Spa

This note outlines the key action points from the most recent meeting of the CAP142 Working Group.

1. Introductions/Apologies for Absence

78. Apologies for absence were received from Garth Graham (SSE), Simon Lord (International Power) and Beverley Viney (National Grid).

2. Legal Text

79. The associated legal text for the Original Amendment Proposal and the Working Group Alternative Amendment is to be amended to reflect comments received from Working Group members.

Action: AB

3. Working Group Report

80. The assessment against applicable CUSC objectives section of the Working Group will be updated to reflect comments received from Working Group members.

81. Members confirmed that there was no support for any other Working Group Alternative Amendments.

82. It was confirmed to the Working Group that the capacity received by the receptor could be a profiled block.

83. The Working Group acknowledged that having an obligation to provide a pre application advertisement would provide notification to the wider industry of the possible availability of TEC under the CAP142 provisions. The Working Group noted that the pre application advertisement could be facilitated by the internet, published on the CUSC website.

84. The Working Group agreed that a pre application advertisement would add to the transparency of the process and act as a safeguard against any possible product misuse.

85. The Working Group queried whether the pre application advertisement would be governed by European Procurement regulations. Clarification regarding the impact of the proposals on existing procurement directives will be provide for February's CUSC Panel meeting.

Action: AQ & AB

86. A revised Working Group report incorporating comments received from members will be circulated to members on Monday, 19th February 2007. Working Group members are to provide any additional comments regarding the content of the final draft version of the Working Group report and associated legal text by close of business Monday, 19th February 2007.

Action: All

4. Assessment of Original and Working Group Alternative Amendment

87. The Working Group assessed whether the Original Amendment Proposal and Working Group Alternative Amendment were better than the existing CUSC baseline:
 - Original Amendment Proposal - Donor Pays $TNUoS_D$; Receptor pays bilateral arrangements
 - Working Group Alternative Amendment - Donor Pays $TNUoS_D$; Receptor pays $LDTEC_R$ and bilateral
88. Five Working Group members indicated that the Original Amendment Proposal was better than the current CUSC baseline.
89. Four Working Group members indicated that the Original Amendment Proposal was not better than the current CUSC baseline.
90. Four Working Group members indicated that the Working Group Alternative Amendment was better than the current CUSC baseline.
91. One Working Group member indicated that the Working Group Alternative Amendment was not better than the current CUSC baseline.
92. Two Working Group members indicated the Original Amendment Proposal was better than the Working Group Alternative Amendment.

CAP142 Working Group

Appendix 1 – Working Group Attendance

Members Present:

Malcolm Taylor	MT	Chairman
Lilian Macleod	LM	Secretary
Adam Brown	AB	National Grid
Paul Jones	PJ	E.ON
Bill Reed	BR	RWE
David Scott	DS	EDF Energy
John Morris	JM	British Energy
Mike Davies	MD	Wind Energy
Dave Wilkerson	DW	Centrica

In Attendance:

Richard Miller	RM	Ofgem
----------------	----	-------

Apologies:

Garth Graham	GG	Scottish & Southern Electricity
Simon Lord	SL	International Power
Beverley Viney	BV	National Grid