

**Minutes and Actions Arising from Meeting No.60
Held on 15 December 2006
At National Grid Office, Warwick**

Present:

Simon Cocks	SC	Panel Chairman
Beverley Viney	BV	Panel Secretary
Duncan Burt	DB	Panel Member (National Grid)
Hedd Roberts	HR	Panel Member (National Grid)
Malcolm Taylor	MT	Panel Member (Users Member)
Tony Diccico	TD	Panel Member (Users Member)
Garth Graham	GG	Panel Member (Users Member)
Simon Lord	SL	Panel Member (Users Member)
Bob Brown	BB	Panel Member (Users Member)
David Edward	DE	Authority Representative (part of meeting)
Hugh Conway	HC	Energywatch Representative

In Attendance:

Richard Ford	RF	Renewable Energy Systems Group
--------------	----	--------------------------------

4 New Amendment Proposals (as at 7/12/06)

893. **CAP143:** Interim Transmission Entry Capacity (“ITEC”) product – RF gave a presentation on behalf of Dr Keith MacLean of SSE Generation Limited. Allows earlier connection for some generation with the defining features of not fully firm, generation may be constrained, no constraint payments, limits to constraint volumes, time bounded, starts when conditions met and ends when TEC granted.
894. The amendment would apply anywhere within the GB. It was asked if it would be constraint neutral - RF replied that this was largely expected to be the case with a “zero price” constraint volume set to provide National Grid with an appropriate incentive. The number of constraints would be relatively low in a year and National Grid would have to reserve the “zero price” constraints for when they were required.
895. TD stated that generators typically submit positive bid prices and therefore a “zero

- price” was not a “no charge” product to the industry. TD questioned why ITEC was required when other existing TEC products were available.
896. RF recommended that the maximum hours would be enshrined in CUSC, with 100 hours the suggested figure for “free” constraint. After 100 hours it would be chargeable.
897. It was asked what the charge would be; RF assumed the charge would be equivalent to TEC.
898. The Panel pointed out that if this product was not constraint neutral, then BSUoS charging arrangements would have to be considered.
899. It was also stated that a Working Group would have to consider if it was going to be a single value for the number of ‘free’ hours for all of GB.
900. The Panel agreed for CAP143 to proceed to Working Group with nominations to be sent to BV by 22nd December 2006. The first meeting is scheduled for Thursday 11th January 2007. Both TD and HR volunteered to chair this Working Group. It was agreed that HR would be the chair for CAP143.